Office Networks and Private Cable: The "New" Local Communications

by Eli M. Noam

Do not quote without the permission of the author. ©1984 Columbia Institute for Tele-Information

Columbia Institute for Tele-Information Graduate School of Business Columbia University 809 Uris Hall New York, NY 10027 (212)854-4222

Office Networks and Private Cable: The "New" Local Communications

Eli M. Noam

Do not quote without permission of the author. c July 10, 1985. Columbia Institute for Tele-Information

Columbia Institute for Tele-Information
Graduate School of Business
809 Uris Hall
Columbia University
New York, New York 10027
(212) 854-4222

í

Journal Computer/Law Vol. VI, No. 2 Fall 1985

International Journal of Computer, Communication & Information Law

ARTICLE

		TACAL PROGE
Paoceen J Ann Un	IN TEE) AN AME BEGIN	LEGAL PROTECTED: EVR MICEOCOG AND BRYOND John & Harris .
Proceedings of Landificance Comperence Held Feerwary 15 and 16, 1985 at the Anneareers School of Communication, University of Southern Calmornia	NOTE IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST: AN ARCHIOST CALLING FOR AN ARCHIOST MORE PRODUCE EXPOSE ANYONICATIONS ACT RESIGNATE MORE PRODUCE SERVICE ANYONICATIONS ACT THE RECALCEST METER. SPECIAL SECTION	R MACEGOOD
OF SOUTH OF TO AND 16 VIOLEN OF TO AND 16 VIOLEN OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER	NOTE INTERSY AN ARCHAET CALLY TO BE FEDERAL CONSTRUCTOR THE BROADCAST MERCA SPECIAL SECTION	WARE ON 2
SEL CYTTE CONTROL (CO	MOLLY WEDAY THEORY PODOWNEL CONTRACTOR	FED 11
MENCE EX THE CATION, ORDITA	d statistics Withing you	
· 6	747	Ì
ene. Entre e		Po he
		Б.

THE THEF LOCAL COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE NETWORKS AND PROVIES CAME. 25 M. Norm
Federal, State, and Local Estatation of Vieto and Teleochardockators devikation Systems—The Actual and res local
Treenology and the Puters of Central Country and The Potens of Central Business Desirates
THE NEW USERLY TREEDSHOOMSUIGHTONS DIFFASTRICTURE
THE PROPERTY FOR TELECOMMUTATE
PME COMPARED A FORTE WARLD
Авижувенті Ексоонтела With Commings
SONE PLANKING AND DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS FOR THE HOME OFFICE
ELECTRORIC VILLAGE INTOMARTICS TRANSPORT CONTRACTOR TRANSPORT CONTRACTOR TOTAL CONTRACTOR T

Edited by students at the University of Southern California Law Center

ing.

THE "NEW" LOCAL COMMUNICATIONS: OFFICE NETWORKS AND PRIVATE CABLE

by Eli M. Noam

268	THE RESIDENTIAL MARKET AND PRIVATE CABLE	H
86	L REGULATORY ISSUES	
268	H. COST COMPARISONS	
2	13. Miscellaneous Systems	
263	12. Infrared Transmission	
8	-	
36	10. Satelike Links	
261	9. Multipoint Distribution Services	
261	8. Digital Termination Services	
280		
125	6. Constal Institutional Cable	
88	5 Optical Fiber Lines	
257	& 'T' Service Lines	
257	3. Digital Data System Services	
, i	Direct Analog Data Communication Lines	
ឬ	 Basic Surtiched Voice Grade Circuit	
3	G. ALTERNATIVE FORMS OF LOCAL TRANSMISSION	
į	F. LOCAL ASSA NETWORKS	
ğ	E. COSTS AND PLEVENUES	
251		
Ş		
243	B. PRIVATE BRANCH EXCHANGES	
249	A. SMART BUILDINGS	
249	OFFICE COMMUNICATION NETWORKS	ŗ
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	

Associate Professes and Director, Hassarch Program in Telecommunications and Information Policy, Graduate School of Burliness, Columbia University. A.B. 1978. A.M. 1973; Ph.D. 1975, I.D. 1975. The anthor wishes to thank George Leftest for the initial property. Henry Lerine, Albert Kramer, and Lewell Parestlett for compounts and Roberts. Pastey, Velane Cagmen, Tim Searnight, Patricla Dwiff, Jonathan Kedis and Mark Gles-

J

281	tv. COTLOOK	<u>.</u>
280	INTERNATIONAL COMPANISONS	! #
278	H. PRIVATE CABLE RESULATIONY OUTLOOK	
275	G. REGULATING PUBLIC CABLE ACCESS.	
273	F. THE RECULATION OF PRIVATE CABLE	
272	E. PRIVATE AND FUBLIC CABLE AND THEIR COMPETITIONS	
2	D. PROGRAMMING	
3	C. ECONOMICS AND TECHNOLOGY	
8	B. THE EMERCENCE OF PRIVATE CASES	
ž	Networks	
	A. PRIVATE CABLE AND OFFICE CONDITINICATION	

that had previously been available only to large firms. works of small users to achieve the economies of scale and flexibility type, shared tenant services (SIS), connects the communication netand voice and the connection of information equipment. networks (LANs), are used mostly for the transfer of high speed data run, their effect may be just as important. Two types of communication links have emerged in the office setting. One of these links, local area global private networks of multinational corporations, but in the long and residential spheres. They are smaller than the earlier generation of New forms of private local networks are emerging in the business The other

are reducing the role of the center. These developments are also shift-ing the scope of regulation by moving the functions of regulated ex-change carriers upstream into the unregulated customer equipment works. These networks are causing capital equipment, such as PSX switches, to be shifted towards users. In the past, a telephone network had a fairly smart center and "dumh" branches. Now, the user end is (CPE) region. becoming more technically sophisticated, while private unswitched lines from the user end, LAN's are keeping traffic from entering public nerterconnect. While STS are squeezing the local telephone companies These two types of building-based office networks overlap and to

ence in trying to block competitive entry into the communications may developments are probably unavoidable, given two decades of experi-\$78 will emerge in central business districts and overcome regulatory scale. It is important to realize that the economic logic behind the bunket through regulation. Similarly, LANs may grow into "wide" area networks (WANs), These barriers. In effect, they will become quasi-local exchange providers. dling of networks does not stop at the building line. Thus, clusters of For LANs and STS, one driving force is to achieve economies of

the residential market where STS can start in large apartment build Other developments are beginning to take place, though slower, in

> 1985 OFFICE NETWORK AND PRIVATE CABLE

tire neighborhoods and to compete with local telephone companies. to provide shared tenant services to apartment house dwellers and ento compete with "public" cable relevision. They too have the potential (SMATVs) are emerging as building-based, video-transmission networks ings and dormitories. More significantly, "private" cable systems

trends, it is necessary, then, to understand these networks in their techready complex communications environment. To anticipate these their development. nical, economic, and regulatory aspects, and to see the parallelists These emerging private astworks will further complicate an al-

I. OFFICE COMMUNICATION NETWORKS

A. SMART BUILDINGS

be discounted lang-distance telephone services, electronic mail, message data processing centers, and word processing familities. There can also or satalitte microwaye links, tacsimile equipment, shared computer and processors, and other equipment. Smart buildings can have terrestrial links to the outside, and local area networks to link computers, word which may include shared PBX switching, various communications ever, a smart building provides communication services to its tensyts, real estate developers and landlords into the role of communication "Smart" office buildings provide communication networks and other communication services to its tenants. This "intelligence" is beservices, videoconferencing, data storage, and belex service. providers. Some guart buildings have electronic controls for heating, cooling, lighting, fire detection, and security. Most importantly, howcoming a selling point for office space in a glutted market, propelling

PRIVATE BRANCH EXCHANGES

to interface with each other. equipped to allow computers using different communication standards rate local area networks with each other. Also, some FBNs have been stone, switch computer terminals to various computers, and tink segarecent digital PBXs are also able to handle high speed data transmisvoice messaging, call-forwarding, conferencing, and speed-dialing. Some software-driven computers possessing a wide variety of features, such as many as 20,000 telephones connected to it. PBXs are in effect small into a few intensely-used communication links. A PBX can have as concentrate communications traffic from multiple on-premises users is a private branch exchange or PBX. The PBX makes it possible to The key element in any building-based relecommunications system

Programmed PBXs can select the least cost route (LCR) for a long distance call—given the time of day, destination, and traffic density. To

reach those long distance carriers, shared service PBXs can bypass the public switched notworks of telephone companies by using private lines or other links. This has been described as the "reselling" of local transmission service.

One economic feature of PEXs is their "leakiness." Incoming longdistance calls can be routed into a building's PBX through a leased line and then into the local network like a regular tocal call. Local telephone companies, which currently receive a share of the long-distance toil charges, lose much revenue, since under this system, the long-distance nature of the call is undetectable.

Simple and small PBXs can be installed for as few as twenty tolophones. These systems can cost as little as \$300 per station, but they do not offer many features. Economies of scale can be significant. One PBX cost extinate by TeleStrategies concludes that the total per-line capital cost, including message center, billing processor, and least ocer routing, to be \$2,500 for a 100-line PBX, \$1,000 at 500 lines, and \$300 for 1500 lines. Beyond 2,000 lines, costs drop slowly.

C. SHAREO TENANT SERVICES

Shared tenant communications services (STS) are not familiar to most business tenants. A Touche Ross survey of tusiness tenants showed that in late 1984, seventy-six percent of the respondents had not heard about the STS concept.¹

Shared tenant services, however, provide several kinds of excomics of scale. In addition to reducing the per-line FEX cost, volume discounts can be achieved through the bundling of telephone services. AT&T's trans-continental WAIS service costs E2L50 per hour use below fifteen hours a month, and StA,16 per hour above eighty hours—aimost a thirty-times percent reduction in price. A similar reduction in price exists for MCI users as well.

Another major advantage of STS is that it makes it economically feasible for small users to hypost the public switched networks of the local telephone company and link up, through one of several routes, with other points, especially with long distance carriers.

Another reason for the emergence of STS is to recreate a one-stop

Another resson for the emergence of N/N is to recreate a one-stop takephone service. The AT&T divestiture has accelerated the trend sway from a fully integrated system. Local telephone service, fung-distance telephone service, and telephone equipment are being provided by different suppliers. This increased complexity generates incentives to hundle services in integrated, building-based communication packages.

The advantages of shored use are usually less important to large

users of communication systems who have already achieved comomics of scale. Some experts set the limit up to which shared usage makes sense at 100,000 square feet per tenant. (The estimated number of kale-phones per 1,000 square feet is between four and five.) Other experts believe that a 150,000-square foot building is the minimum for economical shared telecommunications. Even that size building may not be able to offer more than a shared PEX.² Hence, shared services are most feasible in large buildings with small- or medium-sized tenants, especially if the tenants are heavy long-distance telephone users.

To increase efficiency, smaller buildings could "piggy-back" with larger buildings that are nearby. An example of this is interFirst Plaza in Dallas, which shares its microwave links with surrounding buildings.

Residential usage of shared tenant services is also possible, even though such usage is far less common than in an office setting. One California developer is providing every residential unit with two voice and two data limes, connecting them with a central switch. The tenants are software programmers who prefer working at home. Residential and office usage may also be combined. For example, universities can result long-distance service to students in their dorunitories after business hours when leased lines are not in office use.

D. PROBLEMS OF SHARED TENANT SERVICES

Typically, the wiring in existing buildings is owned by local telephone companies, which charge for its usage. Even where the existing wiring could be adapted to a new communications configuration, it would have to be purchased from the local telephone companies. These companies have no incentive to reduce their rate base or to make the hypessing of their services easier by providing convenient terms of purchase.

In many instances, a rewring becomes necessary. This involves laying heavy riser cables through congested ducts, often through asbestos-laden ceilings. It is often necessary to drill new risers through existing concrete floors. Low-cost rewiring may involve the unacceptable disruption of telephone service to the existing tenents. Rewiring may also require a change of telephone numbers, which established businesses may find inconvenient. On the other hand, the less bulky (ther optic cables may make retrofitting significantly session.

There are significant capital costs in STS. A 1000-station PBX may cost approximately one million dollars, yet can become obsolete rather quickly. The trend in computer technology has been towards smaller and decentralized equipment. In the future, inexpendive tenant-prem-

L. Black, The James, Com. Age, Nov. 1986, at 18.

See Bolick & Coursy. Mared Telecommunications, BETTER BUILDINGS, Sept. Oct. 1864, vt 30, 24.

around when problems arise later, thus increasing the risk to present have today. In addition, many present suppliers are not likely to be ise PEXs may have all of the features that large shared-used PEXs DUSCAS.

WATS too. and begin engaging in price wars that drive prices down for low-volume could well happen when long-distance companies develop over-capacity demands depends on the available communication rates. For example, if the cost of WATS fails to become less expensive per unit as volume *58982 COL Some of the cost advantage of bundling tenants' communication a major advantage for shared services will disappear. This

remaining system users will be paying higher costs than they expected. tions. For a system to be economically successful, a sixty-five to seventy works, or video conferencing. In addition, some tenants' existing system of the building; some tenants may be refortant to depend on the equipment may not be compatible with the new telecommunications ants have no need for high speed data transmission, local area net percent tenant utilization rate may be necessary, or landfords and the landlord for the security, privacy, and confidentiality of communica-Tenants' demand for STS should not be overestimated. Many ten

ternative supply of service. cetions prices. Furthermore, the components of telephone charges can who find it hard to move may be dependent on the landlord's communiphone company were given easy access to the tenants to provide an albe complex and obscure, so that tenants might be at the mercy of a landlord's software. These problems would be limited if the public tele-There are also a number of potential regulatory issues. Tenants

least-cost roudne that is not advantageous to the tenant in price or tions if the landlord controls access to these through his PEX and a long-term leases may not benefit from emerging communications opmany of these problems could be resolved contractually, tenants with nication carriers that are not part of the landlord's package. Though common carrier" status has already emorged, so that tenants cannot be evoldably eries, it is likely that some regulatory safeguards will be im-posed on landlords who provide SYS services. For example, a "quasiprecluded from using, for a fee, the landford's wiring to access commu-Since tenent-landlord disputes on communications issues will un

nesses. There is also a public interest in these questions. Attempts to having incoming calls come through. This is crucial for many bustlinks, the tenants will have difficulties in making outside calls or in mix and quantity of the outside lines. If there are not enough outside In addition, tenants may be affected by the fandlord's choice of the

> receive any revenues from unsuccessful ettempts. public network and its switches. The public network, however, does not get through to a busy PBK from the outside impose a burden on

der to avoid liability, then, an operator of an SIS would need to seek ages in such cases; but, their quality standards are also regulated. In orlosses. Telephone companies by law are tree from consequential damis inoperable for several days, a tenant may suffer severe financial protections either through statute or contract. Such protections would probably have to contain quality standards. Questions of liability pose additional problems. If a landlard's PBX

create many difficulties between the landlord and his tenants. install, and maintain the landlord's PBX; negotiate with the telephone maintenance problems to specialized STS providers. These firms select company; run the message center; and service the telephone equipment however, introduce new problems. Unreliable service providers could in the building. Relations between landlords and the service providers. Landlords typically hand over STS and its technical, legal, and

the revenues are to be calculated. There is a vast difference between a the other hand, participation in gross revenues organes incentives for attorney, "you can count on ten pages of fine print to define net." percentage of gross revenues and net revenues. According to one STS east of the service provided. landfords to install every feature in a system without concern for the Another problem exists in determining how the landlord's share of

COSTS AND REVENUES

According to one estimate, the extra cost of a "smart" building of 750,000 square feet is between two and four million dollars. Fart of the extra cost is a result of the additional staff requirements inherent in a smart building. A message tenter, for example, usually requires one operator for every 200 stations.

tion to twenty dollars for a digital connection. Fees charged for the telvertical installation of wiring, while customers pay for the horizontal installation—shout \$160 for an analog and \$555 for a digital connection. venience of one-stop communications shopping. United Business Communications believes that tenents are likely to pay a premium price. ephone sets run between ten and thirty dollars a month. Fees for port maintenance run between five and ten dollars a month. For the con-Monthly charges per line run between ten dollers for an analog connec-At Olympia & York, United Business Communications pays for the

See E. Levipe, Special Smart Building tique. In A Building Automation/Office Automation Packet 19 (1886) (prepared by Whister-Patri).
 Dawson & Finchurg, Building Intelligent Offices, Vettitus, Oct. 1984, et 9).

a year. This would be nearly twenty-two dollars per line each month for those expenses alone." A similar estimate of the cost of installing nancing arrangements, however, that cost can be reduced to about sixtyshared tenant services is five dollars per square foot. Through some fialone may be \$80,000 a year, and the cost for electricity another \$30,000 five cents per square foot.* According to UBC, the costs for a 500-line FBX for the switch xoom penses such as electricity, space rental, air conditioning, and manpower. network may still be more expensive, particularly if one includes ex-After all, maintenance, installation, and operation of the tenant's own

each 200 square feet of office space. Thus, a 300,000 square foot building square foot, there is an after tax profit of about sixty cents a year. In year five, the return on sales is nine percent. In year seven, the return on investment is twenty-one percent. $^{\circ}$ erating expenses add about \$800,000, plus \$500,000 in line charges. Fer dollars in equipment costs and S80,000 in installation costs. Annual opshared services finds that a 1500-line system regultes about one million requires a 1500-line PBX. One analysis of the profit potential for A typical assumption is that one telephone line is necessary for

LOCAL AREA NETWORKS

a LAN. It can also provide a PC user with much greater computing power by linking the user to the large data bases of mainframes. operations. A network for personal computers is a common example of shared and their functions to be integrated, thus reducing the cost of and data banks with each other. LANs thus anable equipment to be (PCs), word processors, cathode say tubes (CRTs), printers, disk drives, For example, LANs can link mainframe computers, personal computers computers to communicate with other nearby electronic equipment Local erea networks (LANs) are communication links that permit

transmission facility.⁶ At present, LANs are mainly outside of the PBX to link them to each other and to outside communication facilities. are now developing the capacity to handle the data speed of LANs and users like shared tenants communications networks. PBXs, however and thus not directly expressed to the other communication links of best described as a data network that does not use a common entrier LAN's are becoming a method of gathering and aggregating internal The definition of LANs varies with users and suppliers. It has been

F

OFFICE NETWORK AND PRIVATE CABLE

system may cost about \$900,000, two-thirds of which goes for Isbor exthrough a PBX and its local links. There may be as many as 15,000 LAN's installed in 1985. For a basic system, a typical broad-band LAN penses and the remainder for hardware. communications flow and concentrating them for outside transmission

tion's communications flow. LANs are not only proliferating but are also being integrated through FBXs with bypass options. LANs are also expanding geographically and into wide area networks (WANs) outside Tost Satellite. search institutions were linked by the European Space Agency's Orbital the public network. In "Project Universe," the LANs of six British re-LANs may carry, in some instances, sixty percent of an organiza-

particular dectinations that pass all of its participants. There are three major forms of LAN architectures. These are a star (with a PBX in its center), a ring (to which equipment is connected through nodes), and a tree (also known as a "hus"). The tree is the most common form today the ring principle. and is the one used in Xerox's Ethernet. IBM's system will operate on LANs operate on the principle of data streams that are coded for

ent, coaxial cable is more prevalent. Transmission rates of LANs range media. Though fiver is probably technologically superior, at the presfrom 1000 Kbps to as high as fifty Mbps. Ethernet's rate is ten Mbps.9 Coaxial cable and optical fibers are the most popular transmission

ment of current protocol. This interconnection problem is part of a various parts of the communications equipment in an office setting more general one: as communication links overcome the insularity of compatibility becomes even more important. Thus, the ensergence LAN's can be a force for standards and comparibility. When LAMs are "internetworked," they may require an adjust-

central and decentralized controls exist. Examples of when control is would become unintelligible. Thus, the prioritizing of voice communica segments without major problems, voice communications, however on a LAN integrated with data traffic. While data can be divided into Token passing access permits the assignment of priorities to different decentralized in the individual equipment nodes are CMSA/CD (cartions will permit uninterrupted voice conversations. rior-sense multiple access with collision detection) and "token passing." There are two methods of controlling access to the network. Both This is important if voice communications are to be carried

ment does not shut down the entire system, repeaters are necessary apperformance is limited. Although the failure of any one piece of equip-Presently, the tree-type networks are the most reliable, but their

NEWS, Nov. 28, 1984, et 33. . UBC OlympiaNek Shared Tenune Communication Schemen, INFORMATION SES

Sustan, Shared Tenomia Sarvicas, Tautocomatti, Nov. 1894, at 108.

Communication from TeleStrategies to suther (June 1995). See Murphy, A LAN Primer: Can. Aca. June 1994, st 27, 21-29.

^{8.} Wey, Managing a LAN. Telepophinung-attacks, Jun. 1984, et 79, 79-80.

1985) (8)

billed. These features (which can separate billings for different users control features, such as accounting and recording, which makes it posmedium- or low-speed regular office withig. A PBX can also provide system. Ring LANs also require repeaters. A major convenience of the ring architecture is the ability to centralize all wiring into a center, such Tree architecture LANs, such as Etherner, can also be provided with a ment Such a system siso increases the security of information, since and usages) are easily adaptable to a shared tenant sorrices arrangesible to bill for the use of LANs similar to the way telephone use is star architecture and could be upgraded by the introduction of an apcan be interconnected. Such a contralized ring is already close to the as a communications closet, within which the actual ring is formed management and accounting unit, though it would be more complicated one tenant's data need not pass through the other tenants' terminals. LAN permits the linkage of several LANs and the interconnection of propriate PBX at the wiring center. The introduction of a PBX into a source of a problem. It is also possible to form a set of sub-rings that This makes it easy to add new stations to the ring and to locate the proximately every 500 meters, and their reliability is critical for the

ALTERNATIVE FORMS OF LOCAL TRANSMISSION

is included. Though those lines still provide the telephone companies with some revenue, that revenue is considerably less than that which the company could realize from the some traffic on its public switched the facilities of local telephone companies available to the general pub-lic, but that could use such facilities." Thus, the use of private lines bypass as "the transmission of long distance messages that do not use as "bypess." The Federal Communications Commission (FCC) defines tive to those of the local telephone company. This is usually referred to ices is the potential for using such communication links as an alternathat are lessed from the local telephone company and are not switched A driving force behind the interest in shared communication serv-

bypass." This is to be distinguished from the "facility bypass" using pass in the next few years. 11 One important reason for bypass is that FCC believes that service bypass will be the most prevalent form of bytransmission paths that do not belong to the selephone company. The that the telephone company is not able to do on short notice. users may need links which will allow them to transmit data in ways A bypass using leased lines is referred to by the FCC as a "service

their prices in Manhatten are provided in Table 1. penies themselves. A comparison of these local transmission links and tribution available. Several of these are supplied by the telephone com-There are by now a good number of alternative forms of local dis-

1. Basic Suritched Voice Grade Circuit

and short distances. Line costs in Manhattan are \$25.61 (including seand slow, their use in volume data transmission is primarily for backup The basic switched voice grade circuit can sustain transmission rates of 1,200 bits per second (bps) and can be upgraded with special each additional minute. cess charge) plus eight cents for the first five minutes and one cent for equipment to 9,600 bps. Because switched voice grade circuits are costly

Direct Analog Data Communication Lines

a 9.6 Kbps eirmit, New York Telephone charges \$111.60 for one mile lines leased from the telephone company and capable of rates up to 9.6 Kbps. This is enough for several interactive terminals but not for many \$236.40 for five miles, and \$486 for ten miles. 12 other data processing uses. Direct analog lines require four wires. For Direct analog data communications lines are private, unswitched

Digital Data System Service

\$373 for fifty-six Kbps for a five-mile distance. between computers or terminals, with transmission rates between 2.4 mission rate. New York Telephone charges \$135.75 for 2.4 Kbps and and fifty-six Kops. The cost of the service reflects distance and trans-Digital data system service permits medium speed Dataphone usage

4. "T" Service Lines

"T" service carriers, another type of line, permit high-speed data transmission for copper-wire computer use. "T" carriers consist of twenty-four time-division multiplexed channels of sixty-four Kbps and times. It is often difficult to get TI service in many areas. Repeaters are necessary every 5,000 feet. TI channels are also used to combine the signal streams of several slower-speed users. The TI rates charged by Il rate. Il signals carried over copper wires require at least twentypermit a speed of up to 1.544 megabits a second—known as the DS-1 or New York Telephone are \$120.22 for one mile, \$2645.28 for five miles four gauge, which is a larger diameter than most telephone wires in Manhattan. In some instances, it is possible to carry II on ordinary

THE Phalot Switches Nativerks 31 (1994). $11.\ I\Delta$ 10. FRUSTIAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION, COMMON CLARACTE BURGAU, BYPASS OF

^{12.} J. Keda, The latermenton City (1994) (unpublished report)

爱

and \$5051.56 for ten miles. Improvements in the basic T1 system have increased transmission rates to 6.132 megabits a second and are referred to as T2.

Optical Fiber Lines

sion rates of 15 billion hits a second have been achieved. At present, evision signal requires about ninety Mbps.) In experiments, transmisnow operating. Scon, 432 Mbps will be operational. (A digital video teltransmissions. Transmission espacities between ninety and 135 megabits a second are can carry as much as three copper cables with a four-inch diameter. 10 is possible. At present, a one-inch diameter fiber cable with 144 strands peaters. Fiber technology, however, may permit a spacing of repeaters every thirty miles for the same II rate. In experiments, distances of per equivalent transmission capacity, a more efficient use of dust apace glass strands are considerably thinner than copper wire or roaxial cable degree of security, since interception is very difficult. Also, since the seventy-five miles have been achieved. Fiber cables also provide a high freedom from electromagnetic interference and the reduced heed for surands of glass. The advantages of this type of transcrission include rapid pulses of light and then transmitting them through very pure Fiber optical systems operate by transforming electric signals into In comparison, copper-wire T1 circuits require frequent reavailable fiber optic links can support T2-type

There are a number of disadvantages to optical fibers. They are currently less convenient to install in buildings than traditional cable as a result of the difficulties in bending, sphoing, and tapping. In addition, terminal equipment is expensive. It is, therefore, unconomical to use fiber for low-speed traffic. Thus, fiber is used mainly for compountrated bulk transmission by telephone companies and in high-speed local area networks linking computers. For example, New York Telephone's Ring Around Manhattan. Fiber use in local loop communications is developing quite rapidly. New York Telephone and Southern Bell, have started to provide fiber circuits. In Ulmois Bell's Novalink will grovide business users with cables of seventy-two fiber strands for the equivalent of Mbps.

6. Coarial Institutional Cable

Coaxial institutional cable (I-NET) has long been used for high onpacity voice and data transmission and for cable television. Its
bandwidth has been increasing continuously and has reached 550 MHz
for cable relevision. It can now carry up to seventy video channels. In
the 1980's, it will probably carry up to ninety video channels. Because
of its sinkelding, coaxial cable is relatively immune to electrical interference, and it can be installed by sendskilled workers. The typical cost
for laying coakial cable is between \$10,000 and \$15,000 a mile shove
ground, but it can be as high as \$200,000 a mile underground.¹³

Because of their long-standing involvement with coaxial cable technology, some cable television companies have offered data transmission services to large business users in their franchise operation areas. In 1974, Manhattan Cable was the first to offer data transmission services. Its system operates over "dedicated" trunks and, for the most part, is physically separate from its television transmission. The company's headquarters functions just like a telephone company's central office, except that it does not function as a switch. Depending on the customer's equipment, various transmission speeds are available, ranging between 1.2 Khps and 1.544 Mhps—the T1 rate. Most usage, however, is at 5.6 Kbps. The total traffic volume is relatively moderate.

Manhetten Cable's rates range from 5169 a month for 2.4 Kbps to \$1.750 for 1.544 Mbps. The Tl rates are not sensitive to distance, thus underpricing New York Telephone's rates for distances greater than four miles.

Because of the emergence of cable belevision as an ever-present second system of communication wives, cable is capable of broadening its communications offerings and functioning as a communication carrier, with the competition increasing between telephone and cable companies over a wide range of services.¹⁶

In a speech to the National Cable Television Association, William McGowan, the chairman of MCI, offerted cable operators the opportunity to carry MCI's traffic the "last mile." MCI has store linked up with cable companies in a number of cities, including Onaha, Athanta, and I't. Lauderdale. MCI's "Cablephone" can operate on interactive or two way cable systems and permits access to its long-distance node by using a touch-tone telephone set over the cable. A six MHz video channel is used to provide 240 voice circuits to serve as many as 2,400 users per

Niber Option: The Big More in Communications, and Beyond, Bus. Wr. May 21, 994, et 188.

Gertner Group, Strategies in Telecommunication Services 2 (October 17, 1984).

Rothburt Underground Building Wass Paph Coast Higher, Cables Are, Aug. 29 1982, at 15.

See Noure, Theoride Art Integrated Communications Market, 24 Fizz. Con. L.J. 209 (1982). See also Base, Telephone and Cable Companies: Partners or Rivals in Video Distribution? in V1000 Mexics CoMPATTERN 181 (E. Noura ed. 1965).

channel. MCPs introductory race for this service is ten dollars : $month_{-}^{1/2}$

Cox Cable, one of the major cable multiple system operators (MSOs), is one company that entered into agreements with MCI. When Cox Cable began to offer "Index" and "Commisse" service in Omaha, Nebraska, the local Bell Company complained to the Nebraska Public Utilities Commission. The Commission asserted jurisdiction over the subject and ruled that Cox Cable is a "communications service for thre" subject to regulation. This led to proceedings before the FCC and the federal courts, which then led to federal pre-emption. The Cable Communications Policy Act which was passed in late 1984 essentially prevents the states from regulating services other than conventional votes talephones. This law and the current regulatory position of the FCC will likely lead to unregulated data transmission by cable companies.

Point-to-Point Microsaue Transmission

Point-to-point microwave transmission was made operational during World Way II. It requires an unobstructed line-of-alght transmission path and may be affected by interference both from meteorological factors such as rain, and from other users of the same frequency. The technology for the lower ends of microwave is more mature, cheaper, and requires less power than for higher frequencies, but carries less the formation. In communication intensive areas such as Manhattan, the more desirable lower frequencies are virtually filled up. Microwave receivers and transmitters are installed on rooftops by users, building owners, or STS providers. They are also installed and operated by regional microwave common carriers.

Print-to-point mirrowave is best suited for heavy users who link up with a limited enumber of destinations. 10 One such example is chared tenant services, which links up with long distance carriers.

An average microwave transmission channel in the six MHs frequency range can support the equivalent of four T1 1.544 Mbps channels, or 640 channels of 9.6 klichits a second data traffic. At higher frequencies this can increase to eighteen T1 circuits per channel. Private digital microwave systems on the market have hundreds of channels and a capacity of 21.5 megahits a second or more. Microwave equipment and installation to support four T1 charnels costs about \$90,000, while the capital costs for each additional T1 circuit are shout \$1,000. The FCC has recently deregulated microwave transmission, thus pre-exapting state regulations.

Digital Termination Service

Digital termination service (DTS) is a new point-to-multipoint microwave transmission system which permits relatively small users from a number of locations to use microwave transmission. DTS was originally developed by Xerox for its since-abandoned XTEN national office communications network. It was opened for licensing in 1881 by the FCC as the local end of an end-to-end national all-digital microwave system. DTS connects users of data-type services (2.4 Kbps to 1.8 MDps). It is not well-suited for voice transmission, since only about seventy-fire voice circuits can be used simultaneously. Users can share channels, thus making dedicated channels unaccessary. DTS consists of central "nodes" which transmit and receive microwaves from all directions. These nodes interconnect with each other and with long-distance cartiers by point-to-point microwave. The nodes have switching capability and here a range of about six miles.

The first DTS service was provided by Local Area Communications (LOCATE) in Manhatian, MCI has sought FCC licensing in forty-two uties to give DTS local distribution capability. SBS, Tymnet, and ISACOMM are similarly involved. ISACOMM, a subsidiary of United Telecommunications, is a participant in Olympia & York's shared tenants services and has applied for forty DTS licenses and plans to integrate DTS into its tenant service. The allocated frequency for DTS petrmits about 19,000 duplex channels per metropolition area, though this could increase. Although most DTS service is currently point-to-point rather than switched, this may change in the future.

9. Multipoint Distribution Systems

Multipoint distribution systems (MDS) use multidirectional microwave for a one-way transmission of video and data. They were approved by the FCC in 1963 as a common carrier for low-power communications and have a range of fifteen to thirty miles. A transmitter costs about one multion dollars, while reception equipment costs shout from multipoint and provide began. Thus, lease rates for data reflect the opportunity cost for the video transmissions, though they exist on the economic state of cable television. An MDS channel can be leased in Manhattan for \$5,000 per month. Stock quotations are an example of one-way data transmission. FM-subcarriers are similarly used for such purposes.

Satellize Links

Although a satellike is not a local distribution medium in the normal sense (though it certainly could be used as such via a 45,000-mile

Garante Group, Strategies in Telecommunications Services 6 (Aug. 1994).
 Id. at 4.

Mathay, A New Park to Syppes, Telegocondeun(corrects, New, 1984, et 92.

1985

hop), it invegrates the local and long-distance part into one transmission if undertaken from the user's premises. Satellite links connect a user directly to a communications satellite via a parabolis. 'dish' satema. One of the satellite's advantages is that it can be used to reach many recipients simultaneously. Connections are through earth and space facilities provided by a satellite carrier or reseller such as Satellite Business Systems (SBS), USSI, or American Satellite. Prices are lower for long term lesses or when pre-emption by another user is permitted.

Users or user groups may also lease or boy a transponder from a satellite carrier such as FCA or Western Union. A transponder can sell for three million dollars, depending on its orbital slot and its frequency band. The maximum transmission capacity is sixty-down Myps, which is divided into TI channels. In the past, users had to buy or lease a full transponder. It is now possible to acquire fractional transponders. This ability is provided by American Satellite and United States Satellite Systems, Inc. (USSI), by either slicing the transponder handwidth or through time division multiple access.

Where communications demand is great, a company may also launch its own satellite. Because of the costs, regulatory problems, and traffic volume required, no company has yet undertaken such a venture. This option should become more viable in the future, however, with the reductions in launch costs due to the competition between NASA and the European Ariane consortium and to the decreasing costs of satellite facilities.

Cellular Radio

Cellular radio was developed by AT&T and provides superior use of frequency for radio communications. Cellular radio is being introduced in major U.S. markets under an arrangement which allows two licensest operators in each location. One license is to be provided by the local telephone company or its holding company. The other license is to be given to one of the attornorous applicants—many of whom are from the RCC (Radio Common Carrier) or paging industries. Cellular radio is a technological improvement, but it is relatively expensive and cannot sustain transmission rates above regular voice grade. The prices of cellular radio, however, are dropping. Monthly subscription costs in New York range from fifteen to sixty-aine dellars. Usage charges range from a peak of forty to seventy-five cents a minute to non-peak charges of twenty-five to thirty-five cents a minute. The cost of the equipment and installation range between \$1300 and \$2200. Data must be transmitted from a stationary position and is currently limited to a rate of 500

bps in New York. Collular redio's main applications are likely to be mobile communications. Thus, a civil angineer in the field could be connected directly to his company's data base and computer capabilities by cellular radio.

Infrared Transmission

The use of modulated light sources, such as infrared or laser-generated light, provides a low-cost transmission system. The light signals are subject to interference from other sources of light and heat (including the sun). The primary use of this system is for very short transmission paths. Unlike the use of microwaves (which require a frequency essignment by the FCC) and of cables (where the crossing of public rights of way requires a local franchise), infrared transmission needs no license and is not regulated. Theapasity (1.544 Mbps) transmission equipment costs \$14,000 and has a range of under a mile.²¹

Miscellimeous Systems

A number of other forms of local data communications are available. These include: FM-subcarriers (for one-way data transmission), specialized mobile radio (SMR), radio packet communications (RAPAC), cable packet communications (CAPAC), tand mobile radio, citizens band radio, and satellite mobile communications.

COST COMPARISONS

Table I summarizes the above information for leased forms of local service in Manhartan. The prices are normalized per one Kbps for comparison purposes. As can be seen, microwave (\$0.20-0.85), fiber time (\$0.30-1.70), concial cable line (\$1.15) and T1 grade telephone company copper carriers (\$1.70) are the least expensive providers.

For the user, the best choice of communication links depends on a number of technical, economic, environmental, and regulatory variables. These include data volume, availability of duct space, microwave paths and frequenced times of sight, southern exposure, order-lag of leased lines, the number of origination and destination points, and desired security and reliability. The user's choice will also depend on his willingness to own and maintain communications equipment and a network, to be served by a multi-service communication carrier, or to deal with multiple communication providers for separate services. Thus, in Manhattan, optical liber links (either private or New York Telephone's)

^{2).} Rosewell, Miller & Seh, Orrowate Prisate Networks, Temposatente artoxid, Maj. 1964, et T. 13.74.

^{2).} Personal Communication with Light Communications, inc. Norwalk, Conn. (Feb.

Price Comparison of Local Transmission Links (Manhattan; leased lines or channels; 5 miles uniess noted) Table 1

S Astrone 231 16 bands builded	Infrared	Cellular Radio		Transponder	Satellite	System (MDS)	Distribution	Multipolat	Service (DTS)	Termination	Digital	Microsopoe	Paint-to-Polac	Coercial Cable		Option Floor Line	T-1 Service Line (Copper)	Digital Data Service	Data Communications	Direct Analog	Orade Circuit	Switched Voice			Madium	Transmission
	4000	2,000		110,000%			5,000			600		1000	1200	175000	13,500	找	204520	573.400	235.40×		4(81.99)	117.16		(leased)	M0004	Price per
	1344	ž.	(meet of L544 Kbps)	£4,000		:	3,098			9E		1,544	6,132	1,544	44,736	1,544	1,544	88	9.6			L		per second)	(Kilobits	Transcription Rate
	ЬX	966T		1.70			163			10.71		<u>S</u> 1	23	1.15	.39	1.70	1.70	6.49 6.43	24.60		(6.69)	55. 25.	transmission)	per second	(per 1 kilohit	Price

Assumes \$21.16 hade buildness rate access charge, plus usage charge for 8 house/day usage. 30 days/week;

Assumes usage of 4 bours/day, 2) days/week. New York Telephone.

d. Priver range from \$66,857 to \$159,000, depending on length of lease and prescription properties. RCA Globourne.
s. \$15.68 built service depending on type of services using seprends on an important for the Assumes 4 bourn prediction; 50 degratement (\$1500 cage). Equipment installed \$1300.2800.
Assumes 5 years 164. Sources, NYNEX.
Value was 1,2 kbps.
1. Value was 1,2 kbps.

Name: 9.4 miles.

People 9.4 miles.

People 1.4 miles.

People 1.5 mil . Owned equipment \$14,000, 5 year life; maintenance \$1,000/yr. Source: Leght Communications, for,

Contemporary Communications. (The first number is The transmission. The second number is T1 transmission.). Earliers Microwave's rary is 8869 equipment, \$227(19)% video

coverage at 6 Mbys. L. On bests of 39% use of mode parts (100 parts). Contemporary Communications m. Manhetten Cable.

1983 OFFICE NETWORK AND PRIVATE CABLE

may be the best system for high volume data traffic between two locations, eircumstances could dictate the opposite result. tions since microwave frequencies may not be available. In other loca-

REGULATORY ISSUES

many observers and will not be enalyzed here. problem---end user access charges-has been discussed at length by off the network altogether. The federal regulatory response to this sult in rate increases and provide additional incentives to bypass or drop of customers account for fifty percent of revenues. Telephone compaby the local selephone companies. Typically, the largest three pertent local distribution of telecommunications that had mainly been supplied have to be redistributed over the remaining subscribers. This will releave the system. The fixed cost of maintaining the network will then nies are susceptible to major revenue losses if their biggest customers The development of bypassing has accelerated competition for the

ers of AT&T equipment, AT&T was in no position to antagonize them. Initially, AT&T was cautious in pursuing the bypass option. This was a result of the restrictions of the divestiture decree and political rescope. Because local telephone companies were the primary custom-

option. The main incentive was the high local access charges that AT&T had to pay to the local telephone companies. In 1894, AT&T thately two-thirds of AT&T's long-distance costs were the costs associated with access to the local networks.²² than what AT&T's long-distance competitors had to pay. Approxipaid \$17.6 billion in severs charges. On a per-call basis, this was more AT&T, however, had a great deal of incentive to pursue the bypass

charges would have a profound effect on AT&T and the AT&T more than eight cents a minute per connection, while its cost is ments made by long-distance carriers. New York Telephone charges companies. far lower. Even minor changes in the regulatory policies on scoets Local carriers received about one-third of their revenues from pay-000

links its Manhattan headquarters to its offices in New Jersey. Ohio phone has provided the communication system for Exxon's bypass that ers to leave the public switched network. In addition, New York Tele-They are already doing this by leasing private lines that permit custom-Boll Atlantic is constructing DTS systems in Norfolk, Virginia. Ameritech is perticipating in a joint venture to service smart buildings that Local telephone companies, too, can bypass their own switches. took a twenty percent equity position in the Columbus Teleport.

^{22.} Passonal communication with Edward Goldstein, Vice-President, AT&T (Apr

may utilize microwave bypass connections.23

users with each other and Pacific Bell's network.24 with that of a carrier of data and other communications by linking large Another example of a telephone company bypassing itself is Pacific Sell's proposal to construct a cable television network in Palo Alto, Cal-This would partially integrate the coble's transmission role

customers to residential subscribers. This change would bring about sible reversal from the traditional redistribution from business tomers could obtain chapper services than residential customers—a posstrong political and regulatory pressures. The result of local telephone company bypassing is that large cus

number of states have permitted local telephone companies to use dif-terential prioring. The California Public Utilities Commission, however, ing the departure of large users from the system. In response to this, a phone companies from providing bypass services, they may be accelerate has taken the opposite approach by banning intrastate carrier bypess.26 Regulators find themselves in a dilemma. As they restrict tele-

eretion to deny interconnection where local resale occurs. In Arizona company fighting STS. Its South Carolina tariff gives the company dis-Mountain Bell structured a cariff that reduces the economic incentive to then reenter into the PBX. Southern Bell is another regional holding quirement that certain calls exit the PBN into the public network and connection rights and requires a partitioning of common PBXs. In Atkenses, it severely restricts thered or common use of CPE and interof the seven regionals, has filed restrictive tariffs in several states. In sies, "stranding" of surplus festitles, plenning problems, and negative technical externatities on the public network. Southwestern Bell, one revenue losses, duplication, fragmentation, difficulties during emergencompanies have been hostile cowards STS, even though others have jumped on the bandwagon. Those hostile to STS are concerned about fordable for small- and medium-sized users, several local telephone Because STS provide a powerful mechanism to make bypassing afthe company imposes similar restrictions, including a re-

mission service" requiring a certificate of public conventence and necesthat affirmed the local telephone company as the sole provider of local examenses service. The order refers to STS as the "resale of local crans-On January 7, 1985, the Arkantas PSC granted an Interim order To obtain a certificate, a showing must be made that the STS is

privately beneficial and not publicly detrimental," or that the local tel-

OFFICE NETWORK AND PRIVATE CABLE

Texas, on the other hand, has permitted STS, declaring: vice."28 Similer developments have also occurred in ephone company is not providing reasonably adequate telephone ser-Oklahoma,27

all practical purposes, impose certification and rate regulation on these shared services. Regulation of this type could well retard the development of these services, to the possible detriment of Texas telephone Defining these services as local exchanges, telephone service would, for

In Arkansas, in contrast, it was declared:

and efficient telaphone service when at any given moment they could be told they are no longer to provide service to a particular island.²⁹ companies in this state could furnish, provide, and maintain adequate to provide unragulated telephone service to those located within that within telephone company certificated areas, and to allow the reseller in essence, what she resale proponents propose is to create "klands" We are unable at this time to see how certificated telephone

how an Arkansas-type of restriction would survive a court challenge, es-FCC's position is likely to be closer to that of Texas. It is hard to see pecially after the line of decisions upholding shared CFE use. the restrictions of Arkenses and the permissiveness of Texas. Thus, the spectrum of the policy choice lies somewhere between

STS. A Colorado law restricts enyone except the local telephone company from providing local telecommunication services. California, like ida requires approval of bypass. New York asserts its jurisdiction over New York, is reconsidering its policy.20 Other states are dealing with the question of STS differently. Flor-

reach bypass communication facilities. Until now, these facilities were taken largely within the old Bell system. There is no reason why such not included as a contributor to the maintenance of universal service. tems outside of the Bell system by going beyond the local companies to transfer arrangement could not also encompose communication syswards the maintenance of residential services. This transfer was under-In the pest, business communication systems have contributed to

surcharge will be imposed on bypass communications for the subsidy of universal service. Though money could come out of the general zero-In the future, one should anticipate the possibility that a tax or

^{97 [}heredoufter wheel as Punk] 23. The Push to Bypass Lord Telephone Companies, Str. WK., Aug. 27, 1984, et 80,

Peer, supre note 16, at 127-88.
Peer, supre note 23, at 52.

In or Southwestern Belt Tal. Co., No. 84-213-U (Ask. PSC Jan. 7, 1885).

See Pote, Smart Buddeings and Shared Tenent Services. A Preliminary Analysis. 37 Fan. Com. L.J. 521, 529 (1988).

In re Southwessern Bell Tol. Co., No. 5827 (Tex. PUC Nov. 21, 1984).

In re Southwarem Bell Tel. Co., supra now 28.

Sees, Leasong Prof., Oct. 1884, at 1, 1-5. Levine, Smart Buildings Come of Apr. Walts broom Telecommunications Serv

1985]

thue, this does not seem politically feasible. Such a surcharge would make some bypass and shared tenant services unattractive. To be economically efficient, any levy should be neutral among the various focal communications, and it should not be used to hinder bypassing. Recently, Florida passed legislacion to levy a tax on equipment used for bypassing. Thora is shready a small FCC charge on leaky PBXs.

Few large users are likely to favor a bypass charge. The alternable, however, may even be worse from their perspective. Given the high political sensitivity of residential rates, it is possible that regulatory restrictions on the various forms of bypass and STS could eventually be instituted. Such restrictive regulations are likely to be more expensive than a contribution to universal service.

II. THE RESIDENTIAL MARKET AND PRIVATE CABLE

A. PRIVATE CASLE AND OFFICE CONDUCNICATIONS NETWORKS

Small-scale, building-based communication networks are also energing in the market for the distribution of video programs. These convocks are generally known as satellite master untenns systems that distribute over-the-air broadcasting programs to tenants. An such as pay-TV, and self-sites services to residents, similar to oble tallinis a more descriptive term. The 'E' in SMATV is of secondary significance, since any form of "importing" programs (for example, by missiance, since any form of "importing" programs (for example, by missiance, since any form of "importing" programs (for example, by missiance, since any form of "importing" programs (for example, by missiance, since any form of "importing" programs (for example, by missiance of unregulated cable belevision systems that operate under that to the regulated, franchised "public" cable television is in content of the public telephone network.

Both cable television and SMATV use virtually the same technology over coaxial cable with multiple channels of programs that are received from satellite and broadcast stations. A rivalry exists over the technol (and profits) of the wire that delivers video programming into comes the residential and mass media equivalent of a building-based private code system resembles a "bus": LAN in that it has a tree-end-terminal architecture, high capacity, and a coaxial mode to link display vision programs). With proper adaptation, this cable system can be used for two-way communications and interactive services. It is also technically possible to have communications and interactive services. It is also technically possible to have communications between the different terminals

OFFICE NETWORK AND PRIVATE CABLE

섫

by providing some switching capability through a star architecture or through cable packet switching. The future use of a private cable system for telephone distribution and shared tenant use in a residential setting may be possible if the appropriate PBX and architecture are installed.

Although there is not much current demand for non-video, highspeed communication equilities in residential markets, the penetration of the personal computer may change this over time. Similarly, local area networks in a business setting can be adapted for video
rearmission, though this currently has greater commercial value for
video conferencing applications than for television entertainment programs. There is, however, a substantial conceptual overlap of landlordsupplied video and business communication systems, even though the
applications are distinct and use differentiated, though convergent,
technologies.

One example where video communication distribution capabilities merge with business communication systems is in the hotel industry. Thus, Hi-Net serves hundreds of Holiday Inns, linking them is a video conferencing network for business meetings. Pay-TV programs are also brought in for Holiday Inn hotel rooms.³¹

The regulatory issues between video and business communication systems are also similar. Landord-supplied private cable television is being opposed on the grounds of "cream slatmaning," threats to universal service, unequal regulation in comparison to the dominant carrier, loss of economies of scale, and technologiest fragmentation. The falling cost of the technology has encouraged the entry of entrepreneuvial private cable, leading to substantial deregulation by the FCC, contrary to the positions of state and local subnortites. The conflict is far less bitter, however, than over private telephone systems. Cable operators still here many growth opportunities, including private cable. Their service is also not considered to be a public necessity. Thus, the conflict over private cable has been low-key in comparison with private telephone networks.

B. THE EMERGENCE OF PRIVATE CAPILE

Private cable emerged in the late 1970's when the cable franchising process became begged down in controversies in many large cities, leaving a substantial portion of the nation's urban population without cable TV. Since private cable systems do not require a franchise, they emerged to fill the pencing demand for premium programming. The development of private cable accelerated in 1979, when the FCC deregu-

1985

OFFICE NETWORK AND PRIVATE CABLE

the economic feasibility of the service. creased demand for antennas and a rapid drop in prices, thus temproving lated TV receive-only satellite antennas (TVROs). This led to an in-

ever, has 15,000 units, while the Rochdale project in Queens has 6,000 subscribers by 1984. Most private cable systems serve apartment complexes of SM) to 1000 units. The Co-Op City project in New York, howapproximately 2,000 SMATV systems were listed with about 800,000 the National Satellite Cable Association, the SMATV trade association, contracted with entrepreneurial SMATV operating firms. According to associations themselves became involved in private cable operations or work. In other instances, however, property owners and condominium nies integrated the SMATV islands lato their general distribution netwired for cable. After obtaining a general franchise, the cable compatered the field in order to preempt competitors before an area was ing" from pay-TV suppliers. 22 In some instances, cable companies enthe 500,000 SMATV users in late 1982, as many as 150,000 were "piratnecessarily leading to payments to HHO. One study estimated that of TV suppliers like HHO were easily received and distributed without Some SMATV systems originate from a shady past. Signals of pay-

Ð ECONOMICS AND TECHNOLOGY

ownership, the FCC would define it as a "cable system," and then apply its cable regulations. links. When these links connect buildings which are not under common even. It is possible, however, to aggregate several smaller apartment ble. 23 Usually, at least 200 units are required for a system to break percent), a 201-unit complex, private cable system will rarely be profitsapartment complex and on the penetration rate. Unless penetration is very high (sixty percent, as compared to the more typical thirty to fifty buildings by connecting the TVRO antenna through small microwave The profitability of private cable systems depends on the size of the

also be necessary in large complexes. * Thus, in a 1,000-unit complex ing and between \$300 and \$400 in a high-rise building. Repeators may per subscriber. Wiring costs between \$150 and \$200 in a low-rise builddressable decoders and installation costs approximately eighty dollars untermes are considerably cheaper. Subscriber equipment for non-od-SATCOM III-R cost about \$17,000, plus \$4,000 for installation. Smaller In 1984, a large dish antenna pimed at the principal pay-TV satellite

proximately \$215,000, excluding billing equipment and other similar edwith fifty percent penetration, the total capital investment costs are apthe existing master antenna TV (MATV) wiring is available, the costs ministrative overhead. This comes to about \$430 per subscriber. Where

will be considerably reduced if the wiring is of adequate capacity.

ing, allowing individual residents to tap into the system. This configuration does not easily permit the differentiated sale of channel vice tiers. A loop-through prohitecture routes a wire through the buildapartment in a star-like pattern. This permits the easy activation and options.* There are two basic wiring architectures for SMATV. In a homerum configuration the SMATV head end is connected to each termination of services to residents and the differentiation of their ser-FT #

or multi-state region. The separate private cable systems still receive effort, archipelagos of scattered private cable islands in a metropolitan tus. Therefore, some private cable systems have grown by becoming, in crossing of public rights-of-way and requires a regulated franchise stasizes in SMATV. These economies of scale normally cannot be achieved ample, the SMATV operator Private Satellite Television controls the ever, administrative overhead and controls may be centralized. For extheir own programs directly from various broadcasting sources; howthrough simple horizontal expansion, since such expansion involves the Caroline, computer, and then bills them from there. addressable tuners of its Atlanta subscribers from its Charlotte, North Given significant overhead expenses, there are clear advantages to

D. Реоскимуюче

microwave distribution system (MDS), or low-powered television sta-tions operators (LPTV). Furthermore, SMATVs are able to receive satellike programs from the still-developing direct broadcast satellites can also retransmit programs recoived through other media, such as tailers for media such as DHS, MDS, or STV. for pay-TV program networks such as HBO, but also as atternative relites. Private cable systems, then can function not only as the retailers UHF and VHF broadcasters, subscription television (STV), molti-point (DBS), which provide a much more powerful signal than regular satel-SMATV systems provide satellite transmitted programming and

are feasible under present technology. A number of systems provide The channel capacity of an SMATV system is, in principle, the same as that of cable TV. Seventy-channel, single coaxial cable systems fifty-four channels, though most private cable systems have signifi-

^{32.} Henry, The Economics of Pay TV Media, in Vipso MEDIA Conferences 19 (E.

Norm ed. 1985). 83. National Assen of Broadcasters, Computer Resource (1984).

^{34.} Benny, supra cate 32 et 21.

^{35.} H. HOWARD & S. CARBOL, SMATTY: STRATEGIC OPPORTUNITIES IN PRIVATE CARLE

1985)

cantly fewer. This is a result of the cable company's desire to reduce costs and of the absence of local franchise contracts. A private cable system needs to carry only the most popular over-the-air broadcasters, such as the three commercial networks, and omit low-budget UHF stations, which in the past had to be carried by franchised cable systems by law. Furthermore, cable operators are not required to provide so-called "PEG" channels (public access, educational, and governmental channels). Because a private cable system has only a limited number of subscribers who may well be more homogenous than the city population, the operator can tailor the program offerings and omit channels for which there is little interest. For example, it a senior citizen's building, the rock music channel MTV could be dropped. Through program choice, a homogenization of tenants could be promoted in some instances, which raises social and legal concerns.

A major invitation of private cable systems is their restricted access to premium, programming. Major program channels felt pressured by their major cable system clients not to supply competing private cable operators. Program suppliers such as ESPN, the USA Network, and HEO have amnounced in the past that they would not distribute to SMATV operators.

When Warner Amex reduced the distribution of its premium service (The Movie Channel) to private cable operators, suits filed in Arizona alleged an antitrust violation and conspiracy. The parties eventually settled, and in 1984, Showtime/The Movie Channel announced that their programs would henceforth be available to private cable system.

E. PRIVATE AND PUBLIC CABLE AND THEIR COMPETITORS

The proliferation of transmission technologies causes the viability of private cable (and cable television in general) were to be questioned, if broadcasting technologies, such as DES, MDS, and others, were able to provide similarly attractive programming at a lower price. An analysis of the other video media, however, does not support such conclusions.

The required investment for direct broadcast satellites is substantial. A high-powered satellite system carrying between six and eight transponders nationwide costs about 4500 million, though this can be reduced by a different and more modest deployment of satellites. Medium-powered satellites are considerably less expensive. On the other hand, the autenna dish for the high-powered DES is sixty to sixty-five percent smaller than an ancenna for a medium-powered DES. DES also

has high marginal costs per subscriber. 38 a result of the need for subscriber equipment, dish antennee, amplifiers, descramblets, installation, and maintenance. Monthly DBS subscriber charges are likely to be higher for five or six channels than the cable subscriber charges for thirty-five to fifty-four cable channels. In large parts of the country, however, cable is uneconomical due to low population density, thus possibly making DBS an affective vehicle for transmission. Once DBS sobres into existence, it can then reach private cable systems and be disconces into existence, it can then reach private cable systems and be disconced into existence.

while DES has received much attention, the more down-to-earth while DES has received much attention, the more down-to-earth microwave technology of multi-channel MDS may prove to be surprisingly strong. MDS operators may enter and exit the market cheaply ingly strong. MDS operators may enter and exit the market cheaply ingly strong, thus being able to establish themselves early with four to and quickly, thus being able to establish themselves early with four to eight channel systems that permit local flexibility. The marginal costs eight channels are substantially lower than for DES but higher than for cable. In addition, self-installation of antennas is possible. These advantages are likely to make MDS a very strong competitor for the supplying of psy-TV to homes, though MDS is not as strong when the supplying of psy-TV to homes, though MDS is not as strong when the supplying of psy-TV to homes, though the penetration of STV is declining. The costs of STV are high, and the break-even point is often not achieved.

F. THE REGULATION OF PRIVATE CABLE

Two regulatory issues are of particular significance to private cable systems. The first deals with regulatory obligations, the second deals with competitors rights of access to an apartment building complex.

The cable industry has complained about the advantage to private cable from their being unregulated. Similarly, regulators have questioned whether the obligations imposed on cable TV operators by federal, state, and local authorities should also be applied to SMATV

As with local telephone service, the intertwined issues of public As with local telephone service, the intertwined issues of public service obligation, "cream skinnering," unequal competition, and economies of scale are present. Cable operators are required to fulfill a varimite of scale are present. Cable operators are required to fulfill a varimety of obligations, including the provision of services to the entire tranchise area that excompastes the economically less attractive parts. Furthermore, the basic cable subscription rates in the past have been subject to some local or state regulation. In addition, cable operators subject to some local or state regulation. In addition, cable operators must allocate some of their channels to programs that are not financially lucrative, such as small UHE stations, as well as public access, government, and education channels. Cable operators may also have to

^{38.} Heavy, supra note 33, et 39.

supply studio facilities for little or no charge to comply with the terms of their franchise contracts.

These regulations are to prevent the emergence of an "information underclass" unable to receive or afford the various media available to the majority of the population. Furthermore, some of the regulations are sinsed at reducing the "gatekeeper" powers of sahle TV operators by reducing their control over some of the system's channels. SMATV operators do not function under similar restrictions. A typical quanticipal franchise contract, as well as the 1984 Cable Act, permits the franchising authority to collect two percent of the gross revenues from the cable operator. Fursace cable operators do not have to make soch payments (though they do have to pay landlords). According to William Function, shairman of the New York Stace Commission on Cable TV, "the proliferation of private cable will consequents frunction described operators" ability to were nonattractive areas." ""

For these reasons, SMATV operations are troublesome to municipalities, regulators, and cable companies—parties that typically do not see eye-to-eye. A good example is New York City, where it took a lot of persuasion and sweetening of the franchise contract terms to get even one company to agree to whe the Brows. Before any construction began, however, Satellite TV of New York Associates, together with the operators of Co-Op City, River Bay Corporation, started their own SMATV operation in the 15,000-unit middle-income complex. Fearing that this development could prevent any regular cable construction in the Bronx, the New York State Commission on Cable Television issued a cease and desist order to prevent the construction. This resulted in a challenge to the state commission's regulatory authority.

Meanwhile, the New Jersey Cable Commission imposed regulations on SMATV which were challenged by Earth Satelite Communication (ESCOM), a New Jersey SMATV company. A New Jersey Superior Court upheld the state's right to regulate SMATV by equating SMATV to franchised cable television. ESCOM then successfully petitioned the FCC to issue a declaratory ruling pre-empting state and local regulation of SMATV, since they conflicted with the FCC's exclusive jurisdiction over interstate common carriers. This ruling was appealed to the U.S. Court of Appeals by the New York Commission.

In November 1983, the FCC responded by declaring that states were pre-empted from regulating SMATV systems.40 The decision year

appealed to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia by the NYSCUL in November 1984, the Court of Appeals upheld the FCC pre-stapsion over state and local regulation.⁴¹ The construction halt on the Co-Op City project was overturned by a federal district court in February 1984, basing the decision on the FCC's pre-smption ruling. Currently, the project is in operation.

G. REGULATING PUBLIC CABLE ACCESS

The second significant private cable legal issue involves the socess rights of competition like cable television. Given the relative high penetration rate necessary for private cable systems to hyeak even, direct competition from "public" cable television systems to hyeak even, direct competition from "public" cable television systems enjoy certain economies of uneconomical. Cable television systems enjoy certain economies of scale, "2 Thus, cable systems may still be the lowest cost providers despite the regulatory burden. For example, cable systems spend between twenty-we and transport, eight percent of their revenues on programming. By comparison, SMATV operators typically spend thirty-five percent of their revenues on programming. Labor costs are also higher, percent of their revenues on programming. Labor costs are also higher, for SMATVs, between twenty-five and thirty-five percent of revenues is spent on salaries to twenty-five and thirty-five percent of revenues is spent on salaries for cable. "

Thus, the shilly to keep cable operators from entry may be critical if private cable is to survive. Yet, cable operators could argue that since if private cable is to survive. Yet, cable operators could argue that since they are under an obligation to serve all customers who desire their servine, even in unattractive droupstances, they should not be precluded from serving customers under attractive droupstances.

Conflicts over cable's occess rights to apartment houses persist. A local franchise grants a cable operator the right of access to public rights-of-way, but the right to enter private property is not included, included the receive cable television, several states have passed statutes empleit by to receive cable television, several states have passed statutes empleit ing cable companies the right of access, even if a landlord objects, ing cable companies the right of access, even if a landlord objects. Florida places the right with the tenant. A tenant cannot be denied access from a franchised cable television operator. The law also prohibits the landlord from requiring a tenent or cable operator to pay the landlord to receive cable service, except for installation charges and service fees. Similar laws are in effect in Kansas, New Jersey, and

See 47 U.S.C. § 542(b) (Supp. II 1986).

Gladatone, NY SKATV Systems Gao Ga-Abaud, ChapteVSCOX, Mat. 5, 1984, at 49.
 Soburban Cablevation v. Earth Satellite Communications, Inc., No. C-1864-635.
 (N.J. Super. Ct. Ch. Div. May 20, 1889).

In we Earth Satellite Communications, Inc., 55 Rad. Rat. 20 (P&F) 1427 (1983)

^{9).} New York State Commits on Cable Television v. FCC, 148 F.2d 804 (D.C. Cir.

^{1964).} - See Nosiah, Bennomiste of Scale in Cable Teleptskon, in Valed Metric Cohavermoot - Valed of 1969).

Ye. Noam ed. 1885).
 Dawnon, Nuncle Plestings. SMATY Style. CARLEVISION, Feb. 7, 1883, et 81. St. 44. Fla. Stat. April 9 718,1222 (West 1980).

(386)

repair costs and to maintain safety conditions. quire the cable company or the tenants to shoulder the installation and and Minnesota take strailer approaches. New York landlords may reimposed by the State Cable Commission. Massachusetts, Connection, able compensation must be paid to the landlord subject to limitations of cable television the right to install cable television facilities. Reason-New York's approach is somewhat different, granting the operator

it a pyrrhie victory. her constitutional point, but compensation of one dollar per year made year per building was just compensation. Thus, Mrs. Loretto had won Commission on Cable Television then determined that one dollar per overcome any frustration of her property rights. The New York State was only minor. The Court also held that proper compensation would cess rights to her real property was a taking, even though the intrusion The Court sgreed in principle with Lorecto's argument that granting ac-Supreme Court in Loredo v. Teleprompter Manhathan CATV Corp.40 the law. The litigation that ensued ultimately reached the U.S. One New York landlord denied access to a cable company despite

to an SMATV-served epartment building rather than to a building that is this increase in present value that is the subject of a taking. The lords would have realized by setting up a private cable distribution. This earning potential should be reflected in a higher present value. It is not wired for cable. value of a cable company-served apartment building must be compared of property value, but the value of the foregone earnings that the landtrue measure of economic loss to landfords should not be the reduction was necessary. This economic logic, however, is seriously flawed. The in property values, regulators decided that only a nominal compensation isting freeze on distant signal importation.*7 Since there was no decline were served by cable systems which were exempt from the then exple, property values in San Diego varied according to whether houses property values: to the contrary, they may well have risen. For exam-The determination of the amount of compensation to landfords may depend on the adverse effect that the cable relevision's access has on

to multiple unit dwellings after considerable debate about the constitucoble legislation, cable operators were provided with the right of susses In the U.S. House of Representative's version of the 1984 federal

free from a cable operator's right of rival entry. This access provision capacity and diversity of programming would presumably have been an "equivalent" diversity of information sources and services from cable when the building owner provided the tenants with the shifty to obtain tionality of the provision.48 This right, however, would not have existed final days of the congressional session. however, was deleted by the House-Senate conference during the hectic Thus, an SMATY system of reasonably comparable channel

vide access rights to cable operators in a franchise agreement or local been delegated adequate legal authority by the state, they may also prothe problem. It is likely that where the franchising authorities have would govern. Many states, however, have not passed statutes resolving Absent any federal resolution of the caking question, state law

instead was actually fostering competition, since it involved a landlord choosing between two potential entrants. This holding cannot necespied STAR, an SMATV operator, secrets to the tenants. The court building in return for exclusive access to tenants. This arrangement dev. Continental Cablevision of Virginia, Inc., 19 Continental, a cable comlace enti-trust laws. In Satellite Television & Associated Resources, Inc question of whether exclusive landford-private cable arrangements vio guide or departing in search of better video services. There is also the would leave tenants with the option of either voting with their program vate cable may provide inferior and more expensive video services. This is based not on a choice between two options, but rather on a desire to found, however, that this arrangement was not a restraint of trade but pany, had obtained an agreement with a landlord to wire an apartment protect an established private cable provider. inted SMATV is granted exclusive rights, or where the exclusive right sarily be relied upon in reverse situations, such as where an owner-affil The other side of the access issue is that a landlord's protected pri

viability of private cable will be jeopardized. Yet, providing private granted as a matter of right, the compensation to the landford may be have an incentive to deny access to cable television, unless cable operaing complement in providing cable services. How can this dilemma cable operators protection from cable television may lead them into beset so low that entry may be encouraged to the point that the economic tors provide adoquate compensation. If cable access, however, resolved? Both of the above-mentioned approaches (keeping cable and Since landlords benefit from participating in private cable, they

^{48.} Se M. Price & D. Breitster, Cable Television and Other Mon-broadcast Video Technology 594 (to be published in 1998).

^{49. 458} U.S. 419 (1962).

^{41.} M. Price & D. Bresner, supra cota 45, at 41.

^{49.} H.P. 4103, 98th Cong., 2d Sees., § 2 (1984)

^{49. 714} F 28 351 (4th Cir. 1988).

wise, landlords will find ways to obstruct the cable operator's access. technical innovations.²⁷ But, landlords should be compensated; othernot only to better program and price offerings to viewers but also to customers, even where private cable exists. Such rivalry will likely lead television operator must be granted the opportunity to reach willing tremes on a spectrum that permits intermediate solutions. A local cable SMASTV apart and the Loretto-type of virtually free access) are ax

elternative services and to participate in a larger public network. In the video mass media field, such a balancing approach would be premature; communications system is belanced against the tenants' rights to choose on the basis of leased capacity. suppliers' programs could also be linked to such a private cable system rights and compensation, seems to be a sensible arrangement. Other affiliated private cable increase, this shared approach, based on access media, and as the conflicts between local cable companies and landford. however, as cable-transmitted video becomes the primary form of mess wired buildings. There, too, the landlard's right to provide his own concept to the right of access that a telephone company has in landlord. rights and obligations for universal service. This approach is similar in This arrangement would be part of the cable operators' package of building's private cable system and reach potential subscribers directly equate. This would allow cable operators to interconnect into a ing, including the right to upgrade this espacity when it is no longer adright to some transmission capacity on landlord-provided internal wire One possible solution would be to let the cable operator have the

Ħ PRIVATE CABLE REGULATORY OUTLOOK

ety of obligations, such as must-surry rules. PEG channels, lyssed channel provisions, five percent franchise fees to the franchising authority, and universal service obligations. The social goals behind these regulations are not likely to disappear. Thus, the development and success of of regulation, and separation from the "public" franchised cable TV systowards deregolating sable television. It is nevertheless subject to a varivate cable in relation to franchised cable television. Despite the trend emerging is the regulatory imbalance between the growing role of pricollaboration and partial rivalry. One of the major public policy issues MDS, and public cable itself. This scenario is characterized by partial mission pipes for a variety of other distribution media, such as DBS, tem. These systems have the potential to become, in effect, retail transtribution medium whose main characteristics are landlord control, lack The above discussion shows that private cable is a viable video dis-

transmission systems that prosper from the absence of these require-OFFICE NETWORK AND PRIVATE CABLE

tal deregulation of public cable. This deregulation would be unlikely, share of cable revenues. since thousands of municipalities would be opposed to giving up their ments will be controversial It is possible that a "level playing field" would be created by the to

ming of their own ideological or moral biases. If these situations occur cent municipal franchise fee and obligations to carry public access-type and receive publicity, the public pressure for some form of private cable regulation will grow and be supported by tenents who want to reduce bad publicaty. It is unavoidable that some operators will be overly ag required in the future to provide tranchised cable TV with access rights of public cable. This would likely include a fee similar to the five pervice reliability. Others may overload the video channels with programgressive in charging tenants for services, while others will be slow in in return for fair compensation. Summerfield. cable grows, it will be subject to regulations that closely resemble those base of cable revenue. It seems realistic, then, to expect that as private their monthly payments and municipalities eager to form a broader bringing their systems to a reasonable level of channel capacity and ser it is likely that landlord-affiliated private cable will generate some Furthermore, as has been discussed, landlords may

of information sources. communications for some time, including universal service and diversity tributing to the policy goals that have characterized American tion, private cable will be increasingly drawn into participating and concable network. Through this process of expansion and partial regulaing may expand to cover neighborhoods and partially overlay the public the small private forms of communication distribution that are emergready crumbling. As in the case of telephone and data communication benefits of economiss of scale. Some of these regulatory barriers are allowing expansion to take place and enabling private cable to resp the tions that restrict private cable to a single property will break down, al It is also reasonable, however, to expect that the geographic limits

communication areas. versity burden, however, is likely to be restrictive regulation on operato their shareholders. The alternative to sharing the financial and diestions policy is natural for profit meximizing firms that are responsible and would limit its technological innovation and expansion into other tions and expansion. This would be a financial burden on private cable Opposition to this participation in the public goals of telecommuni-

Near, Productivity and Isnavation in Cable Television (2984) (weeking paper).

III. INTERNATIONAL COMPARISONS

The array of different transmission links and networks fortells a future in which differentiated communication needs can be floxibly met under a customer-oriented, dynamic, competitive system. Such a system, however, is likely to lose some of the economies of scale of large scale operations and the economies of scape (of offerings of multiple services) that have been the mainstay of conventional celephone communications for a century.

It is important to recognize that the approach of communications diversity the U.S. has chosen is not the same pulley pursued in most of the other industrialized nations. The trend is continental Europe is exertially the opposite. There, the governments seek an integration of the existing separate telephone communication networks. This trend towards an integrated services digital network (ISDN)—after agreements on standards under the suspices of the Consultative Committee on International Telephone and Telegraph (CCITT) on protoculs—is progressing. In addition, pilot projects have begun to incorporate the transmission of cable television video signals by finishing it with voice and data service. In Germany, this is being tested in the BIGFON project (broadband integrated glass fiber optic local network). In France, government authorities are also planning to provide cable television grated with the general public telephone network. Limited tests have been underway in Bierriz. These integrations, however, are not yet close to being operational.

Similarly, on matters of competition to telephone services, the government-run telecommunication authorities (PTIs) mostly take a hard line. They oppose infringement of their monopolies, arguing that these advance universal service and economies of scale and scape. The increased integration of communication services into one powerful and complex ISDN has provided the PTIs with an additional argument the exclusivity. The creation of such a network is expensive and capital intensive, thus requiring special protection from "cream skimming." Furopean PTIs have argued that they needed to make profits in business communications to substitze universal residential service. For investing the Protection from competition is now being claimed to be needs in the Protection from competition is now being claimed to be needs sary to make it possible to provide businesses with a new communication.

Similar centralizing developments have taken place in private cable. At the time when American users and landfords are increasingly providing communication networks of their own for business and residential entertainment uses, the opposite trend can be observed in conti-

nental Europe. In Germany, cable master antenna systems television was once privately provided, permitting spartment houses (and sometimes entire new residential complexes) to be linked by coasial cable. In recent years, however, the German Bundespost has imposed a licensing requirement for such facilities and has reserved itself the right to provide its own system when upgreding is proposed.

IV. OUTLOOK

The development of private communication systems in the office setting and in the mass media market exhibit strong parallels. Business-retrieved, private local telephone and local area networks, and the consumer-oriented, private cable networks overlap and fulfill some of each other's functions. In both instances, private building-based networks that are landlayd-controlled and unregulated are energing. This development involves a partial separation of the communication facilities from the established "public" systems of local telephone companies and cable television operators.

In the case of \$1\$ and LANs, the drive to schieve aconomies of scale encourages expansion and the clustering of office and spartment buildings. In the case of cable television, the managerial clustering that is now occurring will be augmented by a physical expansion of the service beyond the confines of property lines. As private cable operators begin to grow into neighboring buildings, a carring out of private cable neighborhoods from the public cable networks can be expected.

These developments not only contribute communication resources and fieribility to business users and middle class residents, they also exclude those who are outside these private systems—forcing them to contribute more to maintain their public communications services. The policy alternatives are either restrictive regulations, which are ultimately both costly and ineffective, or a different method of supporting communication services. The less restrictive alternative is for these new local networks to have fees levied upon them towards the support of universal service. The alternative would be several decades of regulatory strife.