Whither Multilateralism?

Joseph Stiglitz

ASSA Meetings

New Orleans, January 2023

Best of times...worst of times

- International cooperation in fighting Russian aggression in Ukraine
 - But lagging support in Third World
- International cooperation on climate change
 - But world is still not doing enough
 - And advanced countries have not lived up to their previous commitments
- International cooperation in fighting pandemic
 - But vaccine apartheid, and now inequalities in access to tests and treatment
- New cold war
- US monetary policy new form of "beggar-thy-neighbor" policies, inducing global slowdown and debt crisis in poorest countries
 - Inadequate global framework for macroeconomic coordination
 - Inadequate global framework for debt resolution

Whither multilateralism?

- Pandemic, war, climate change show need for global cooperation
- But new geo-politics has made such cooperation more difficult
 - Can we compete, even aggressively, in some areas while cooperating in others?
 - Are there new rules, new and reformed institutions, that would facilitate the requisite cooperation?
 - New trade rules
 - New IPR rules
 - Sovereign debt-restructuring mechanism
 - Multilateral green banks

3

I. New trade rules needed: Old rules aren't working

- Trump claimed essentially everything was a matter of national security
- Biden imposed constraints on exports/imports of high technology products
- US adopted industrial policies favoring domestic production of chips, green investment
- Europe engaged in massive bailouts (subsidies)
- US blocked appointment of new appellate judges in WTO

Risks of not establishing new framework

- China retaliation: We are heavily dependent on China for many products, especially in short run, including key products, minerals associated with move to green economy
- Won't be able to take advantage of comparative advantage higher costs of production, lower standards of living
- *Real politick* governing trade today
 - US does as it pleases
 - Developing countries have to obey rules

Intellectual and political foundations of old trade order gone—we have no choice but to look for a new order

- Old order based on neoliberalism—largely discredited
- US was strongest supporter—no longer
- But arguments for free trade exaggerated benefits
 - In absence of good risk markets, everyone could be made worse off (Newbery-Stiglitz, 1984)
 - Importance of learning by doing for developing countries (Greenwald-Stiglitz, 2006)
 - Distributive costs are large, and may outweigh gains in GDP
 - Macroeconomic instability and environmental and other forms of exploitation
- And current regime is not a free trade regime—shaped by vested interests in rich countries
 - Agricultural subsidies allowed, others not

Possible new framework for industrial policy

- Small developing countries should be allowed to engage in such policies
 - No systemic effect
- National security
 - Needs to be focused on domestic production—can't extend to exports
 - Strengthening countervailing duty regime—others could tax US chip exports
 - Limited to areas where compelling national security exemption required—not autos

Promoting a global green economy

- Permanent (non-predatory) subsidies for green products allowed, without countervailing duties (US duties on Chinese solar panels not allowed)
- Cross-border carbon taxes allowed (not taxing carbon is an implicit subsidy)
- Should subsidies allowed for green production of non-traded goods? Distinction between final goods and intermediate goods
- Hardest questions:
 - should green subsidies be allowed for traded goods?
 - Should green subsidies be limited to inputs produced domestically?
 - Countervailing duties for goods indirectly subsidized through subsidies to non-traded inputs?
 - All second best questions: global benefits in reduce emissions, global cost, loss of global efficiency
 - Former probably more important than the latter
 - Most of costs borne by the country engaged in distortionary policies

Some general principles

- Concern about jobs should not be at center of trade policy for advanced countries—maintaining full employment is responsibility of monetary and fiscal policy
- Market failures, including concerns about public goods and inequality, mean that there is a rationale for industrial policy
- Not about picking winner, about identifying externalities (and other market failures)
- Hard question is about global spillovers of policies intended to correct for domestic externalities
 - For small countries, global externalities likely to be small, but not for large country
 - Even for large countries, global welfare may be enhanced—everyone in advanced countries benefitted from US subsidies for vaccines, globe has benefitted from China's subsidies to renewables
 - Hard to define simple global rules

We have two conflicting competition policies

- In anti-trust, presumption is that markets are naturally competitive, hard to establish predatory behavior
- In trade, presumption is that selling below costs (typically incorrectly measured) is predatory and should be stopped/countervailed
- Reality is in between
 - Permanent subsidies/low prices should not be viewed as predatory
 - Can devise punishments for those ex-post engaging in predation
 - Small country subsidies should not be viewed as predatory

II. Intellectual property regime

- Hasn't served world well in pandemic
 - Vaccine apartheid
 - As we move to testing and treatment, may be even worse
 - Possibility of production in developing countries larger
- US supported vaccine waiver, but a few European countries opposed
 - US has not supported waiver of testing and treatment IPR

Foolishness of US position

- While disease festers, mutations arise
 - Drug company profits put ahead of lives and health
 - Particularly strange given that most of funding for research came from governments
- Played important role in undermining global cooperation on Ukraine (and other issues)
- If we couldn't get global agreement to do everything possible to combat pandemic, what does it say about global cooperation in other arena?

III Sovereign debt

- US monetary policy exacerbating risk of debt crisis
 - Higher interest rates
 - Higher value of dollar
 - Global slowdown
- But we have no framework for resolving sovereign debt
 - UN passed a resolution for creating such a framework and set forth a set of principles (2014,2015) but US opposed
 - Contract provisions (collective action clauses) have—as predicted not resolved issue
 - Some US policies exacerbate problem (NY State law on prejudgment interest, Champerty provisions)

Problems today are worse than in earlier crises

- More lenders—including China, not member of Paris Club, and private sector
- More securitization—not just banks
- More borrowers
- More interdependence
- Likely result: problem of "too little, too late" will once again prevail
- Undermining global solidarity, ability of world to cooperate on a wider range of issues

Whither multilateralism?

- Need for multilateralism has never been greater
- In the past, US saw it in its interests to promote multilateralism
- Probably true today
- But currently, US is pursuing policies in several arenas that are undermining the possibility of wider global cooperation
- In each of these areas, there are alternative frameworks that would promote global cooperation and from which the US would benefit
 - The problem is that in several of these areas, special interests have had a disproportionate role in shaping policy